

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/276,273	03/25/1999	TYLER LOWREY	2024.17	1882
24963 75	590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
ENERGY CO	NVERSION DEVICE	CAO, PHAT X		
2956 WATER\ ROCHESTER	/IEW DRIVE HILLS, MI 48309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2814	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/276,273	LOWREY ET AL.	øK		
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Phat X. Cao	2814			
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov r she t with the c	orrespond nc addres	s		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nication.		
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 h	<u> 1arch 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 258-275 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 258-275 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureation attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	ge		
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		2)		

Application/Control Number: 09/276,273

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The cancellation of claims 1-257 in Paper filed 3/9/04 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 269-271 and 274-275 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gonzalez et al (US. 5,854,102).

Gonzalez discloses a memory element, comprising: a conductive sidewall spacer 38 between a first dielectric material and a second dielectric material 20 (Fig. 6); and a programmable resistance material 46 of chalcogen (column 8, lines 32-40) in electrical communication with the conductive sidewall spacer 38 by coupling to a top surface of the conductive sidewall spacer 38 (Fig. 8); wherein the first and second dielectric material 20 made of silicon dioxide material (column 6, lines 42-46).

Art Unit: 2814

4. Claims 269-275 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ovshinsky (US. 5,687,112).

Regarding claims 269-271 and 274-275, Ovshinsky (Fig. 2) discloses a memory element, comprising: a conductive sidewall spacer 34 between a first dielectric material and a second dielectric material 18; and a programmable resistance material 36 of chalcogen (column 15, lines 64-67) in electrical communication with the conductive sidewall spacer 34 by coupling to a top surface of the conductive sidewall spacer 34.

Regarding claims 272-273, Ovshinsky's Fig. 2 further discloses that the conductive sidewall spacer 34 includes a protruding portion 16 extending toward the programmable resistance material 36, and the top surface of conductive sidewall spacer 34 can be a conical, pyramidal, elongated or wedge-shaped frustums (column 14, lines 58-60).

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 6. Claims 269-275 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ovshinsky et al (US. 5,414,271).

Ovshinsky (Fig. 1) discloses a memory element, comprising: a conductive sidewall spacer 32 between a first dielectric and a second dielectric 20 of the same material; and a programmable resistance material 36 of chalcogen (column 16, lines 52-54) in electrical communication with the conductive sidewall spacer 32 by electrically

coupling to a top surface of the conductive sidewall spacer 32; wherein the conductive sidewall spacer 32 includes a protruding portion extending toward the programmable resistance material 36.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 258-268 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzalez et al (US. 5,854,102) in view of Tanahashi (US. 6,064,084).

Regarding claims 258-259, 261-266 and 268, Gonzalez (Fig. 30) discloses a memory element, comprising: a dielectric material having an opening; a conductive material 162 of tungsten lining the sidewall surface of the opening; and a programmable resistance material 164 of chalcogen (column 15, lines 45-46 and column 8, lines 34-37) coupled to a top surface of the conductive material 162.

Gonzalez does not disclose that the conductive material 162 is a cup-shape having a second dielectric material formed within the opening of the cup-shape.

However, Tanahashi (Fig. 3C) teaches the forming of the conductive material 53 being a sup-shape, having a raised portion extending to an end, and having a second dielectric layer 54 formed within the opening of the cup-shape. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to modify the device structure of Gonzalez by forming the conductive material 162 with the structure as set forth above, because according to

Art Unit: 2814

Tanahashi, such structure would reduce the contact resistance of the conductive material (column 3, lines 62-64).

Regarding claims 260-267, Tanahashi's Fig. 3C further discloses the conductive sidewall spacer 53 including a protruding portion extending toward the contact line 55, and lining the sidewall surface of the opening 52A over only a portion of the bottom surface of the opening.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant argues that none of the applied references teach "a second dielectric material formed over the conductive material within the opening" as claimed in the new claim 258. However, the new reference issued to Tanahashi is applied in the new ground of rejection to show the obviousness of forming the conductive plug structure as claimed.

Applicant further argues that the conductive material 38 shown in Fig. 6 of Gonzalez is not a conductive sidewall spacer and is structurally different from a conductive sidewall spacer as claimed.

This argument is not persuasive because as defined by Applicant's Fig. 1, the conductive sidewall spacer 130A is a conductive material formed within an opening of a dielectric and spacer from the other conductive material 130B. Therefore, there is no different from the conductive material 130A and the conductive material 38 of Gonzalez because the conductive material 38 is also formed within an opening of a dielectric 20 and spaced from the other conductive material 38 (see Fig. 6).

Applicant also argues that the conductive layer 34 of Ovshinsky '112 (Fig. 2) and the conductive layer 32 of Ovshinsky '271 (Fig. 1) are not conductive sidewall spacers as claimed.

This argument is not persuasive. As is well known in the semiconductor arts, these conductive layers are defined as "conductive sidewall spacer" because they are formed along a sidewall surface of the opening. For example, the U.S. Patent No. 6,258,707 issued to Uzoh is cited to illustrate the use of term "conductive sidewall spacer". Specifically, Uzoh (Fig. 9) discloses a conductive sidewall spacer 124 (column 8, lines 62-67), which is defined as a conductive layer formed along a sidewall surface of the opening.

### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/276,273

Art Unit: 2814

01/00/11/0/ Number: 03/2/0,2/

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phat X. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PC

June 1, 2004

PHAT X. CAO

Page 7